2

RRCC 668/E 87-101 Order No. 2022 3

# STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

#### FOR THE MINNESOTA TRANSPORTATION REGULATION BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of McDonough Truck Line, Inc. for Extension of Its Regular Route Common Carrier Certificate to Transport General Commodities Over Various Routes in the state of Minnesota.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

!he above entitled matter came on for hearing before  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Administrative}}$  law

Judge Richard C. Luis in June and July of 1981, on the following dates in the following locations: June 1, 2 and 22 in South St. Paul; June 3 and 4 in

Faribault; June 23 and 24 in Owatonna; and June 25, July 17 and July 20 in

Minneapolis. The record in this matter closed on January 15, 1988.

Grant J. Merritt, Esq., Grant Merritt & Associates, 4644 IDS Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402, appeared on behalf of McDonough Truck Line, Inc.

("Applicant", "Petitioner"). Robert D. Gisvold, Esq., Mackall, Crounse &

Moore, 1600 TCF lower, 121 South Eight Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402, appeared on behalf of Protestant Hyman Freightways, Inc. ("Hyman", "HE").

Brenl L. Reihert, Fsq., Robins, 7elle, [arson & Kaplan, 1800 International

Centee, 900 Second Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402, appeared on

behalf of Protestant lakeville Motor Express ("Lakeville", "LME"). The Application was also protested by Robinson Transfer, 204 East 15th Street,

Hastings, Minnesota 55033. By letter filed with the Administrative Law Judge on June 19, 1987, Robinson Transfer withdrew its Protest.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Minn. Stat. 14.61, and the

Rules of Practice of the Public utilities Commission, as applicable to the

Transportation Regulation Board, and the Rules of the Office of Administrative

Hearings, exceptions to this Report, if any, by any party adversely affected

must be filed within 20 days of the Mdiling ;ate hereof with the  $\operatorname{Transportation}$ 

Regu Iation Board, Minnesota Admini strdtive truck Center, 254 Liven stock Exc hange

Building, '00 Stockyards Road, South St. Paul, Minnesota 55075. Exceptions

must be specific and stated and numbered separately. Proposed Findings of

served upon all parties. If desired, a reply to exceptions may be filed and

served within ten days after the service of the exceptions to which reply is

made. Oral argument before a majority of the Board may be permitted to all

parties adversely affected by the Administrative Law Judge's recommendation

who reque- such argument. Such request must accompany the filed exceptions

or reply,  $\,$  inn an original and five copies of each document must be filed with

the Board.

The Minnesota Trans portation Regu I ation Board wi II make the fina I determinat ion of the matter a f ter the expi rat ion of the period for filing

except ions as net forth above, or a f ter ora I argument , if such is requested  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

and had in the matter.

Further notice is hereby given that the Board may, at it! own discretion, accept or reject the Administrative Law Judge's recommendation and that %aid recommendation has no legal effect unless expressly adopted by the Board as its final order.

#### STATEMENT OF ISSUE

Whether the Applicant should be granted authority to provide services as a Regular Route Common Carrier (RRCC) over various named routes, pursuant to Minn. Stat. 221.071 (1986).

Based upon all of the proceedings herein, the Administrative law Judge makes the following:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On March 6, 1987, McDonough Truck Line, Inc. filed a Petition for extension of its Regular Route Common Carrier Certificate to transport general commodities over 12 specified routes in Minnesota.
- 2. Notice of the Petition was published in the Transportation Regulation
  Board's (Board) weekly calendar, with a Protest deadline set of March 26,
  1987. Timely Protests were filed by Hyman, Lakeville and Robinson.
  Robinson's Protest was withdrawn on June 19, 1987, and Hyman and Lakeville remain as Protestants in this case.
- 3. McDonough currently has RRCC authority to serve the Minneapolis-St. Paul "Intrastate Freight /one" (interpreted by the Administrative Law Judge and a I I parties he re to as the Seven-County Metropolitan Area of Hennepin ,

Ramsey, Anoka, Washington, Dakota, Scott and Carver Counties) and all points in the counties of Rice and Steele.

The Petitioner is based in Faribault, Minnesota, and the extension it

seeks in this case includes the area within a 50-mile radius of that city

which lies outside Rice and Steele Counties (for which McDonough already has RRCC authority). The basic borderlines of the territory are the Minnesota

River, down to Mankato on the west, south to Wells from Mankato on the southwest, Interstate 90 between Alden and Chester as the southern boundary, State Highway 42 North from Chester to the Wabasha County line, then east

along the southeastern border of Wabasha County to the Mississippi River on the southeast, and the Mississippi River (the Minnesota-Wisconsin state line) as the eastern boundary. The general area is depicted on McDonough's Exhibit No. 2.

4. The 12 routes specifically identified in the Petition essentially

"spider web" the area described in the preceding Finding outside of the already authorized counties of Rice and Steele. The routes run basically

north and south, with U.S. Highway 14 between Rochester and Mankato (McDonough

Route 7) and parts of 1-90 (McDonough Routes 12 and 9) as the only east-to-west routes. The major east to-west connecting routes omitted in the Pe ti ti on a re St a te Highway 60 between Wa has ha and Mank a t o ( t hrough I a ri haul t

State Highway 30 between 1-90 south of Rochester and Highway 22 south of Mapleton, and State Highway 19 between Highway 61 west of Red Wing and the

Minnesota River near Henderson. It is McDonough's position that all points on

or near these routes can, however, be served on a regular route basis as

intermediate and off-route points of the 12 routes specifically describes This result is aided by a provision in the Petition in the Petition. allowing tacking of all 12 described routes with each other and with the Petitioner's exinting authority to provide direct service. The 12 routes (without naming all listed intermediate and off-route points) are:

- (1) Between the Minneapolis-St. Paul Metropolitan (Metro) area (described as the seven counties of Hennepin, Ramsey, Anoka,
  Washington, Dakota, Scott and Carver) and Albert Lea over 1-35;
  - (2) Between the Metro area and Mankato via U.S. Highway 169;
- (3) Between the Metro area and Austin via 1-35 to Owatonna, U.S. Highway 14 and U.S. Highway 218;
  - (4) Between the Metro area and Rochester via U.S. Highway 52;
  - (5) Between the Metro area and Wabasha via U.S. Highway 61;
- (b) Between Hader (on U.S. 52) and Kasson (on U,S. 14) on State

  Highway 57 (intermediate points are Wanamingo and Mantorville);
  - (7) Between Rochester and Mankato on U.S. Highway 14 (chief intermediate points are Owatonna and Waseca);
- (9) Between Hampton (at U.S. 52) and Austin on State Highway 56 and  $i\text{-90 (chief intermediate points are Kenyon and } \\ \text{Dodge Center);}$ 
  - (10) Between Rochester and take City on U.S. Highway 63;
- (12) Between Mankato an! Albert Pea over Minnesota Highway 22 and 1-90 (chief intermediate point is Wells).
- 5. McDonough Trucking, Inc. is a closely-held family corporation owned by Richard E. McDonough (its President and Treasurer) and his wife, Charlotte E. McDonough (the Vice ,esident and Secretary). The corporate

address , 31 05 1 inustri a 1 Drive in F a ri ha u It, Minnesota, is a I so the locat ion of McDonough's terminal, garage area and offices. Both McDonoughs participate in the day -to -day opera t ion ( Richard McDonough sti II a c Is as a driver) , and several family members are included among the 20 full-time employees of the enterprise.

6. The McDonoughs began in the trucking business in 1961, when they pure ha sed the business of a loc a I livestock ha u Ier and transported livestock to South St Paul from the Faribault, Austin and Albert lea areas, bringing back freight.

In 1973, the McDonoughs merged their business with that of Palan Truck Line, Inc., a corporation owned by Ed and Shirley Palan. The McDonoughs

became partners with the Palans in 1971 and bought them out by the end of 1980. On January 6, 1981, the Minnesota Public Service Comm-ssion authorized

a change in the name of the Palan business to McDonough Truck Line, Inc. and

transf erred a II of the Pa Ian authority (Regul a r Route Common Carrier, Interstate Common Carrier, Livestock, and Local Common Carrier) to McDonough.

- 7. In addition to the authorities transferred from Palan mentioned in the preceding Finding, one of the authorities transferred on January 6, 1981 was Palan's "grandfathered" Irregular Route Common Carrier authority to transport general commodities statewide- The originally transferred Regular Route Common Carrier authority has had two extensions--one to the Northfield area (which gave McDonough Regular Route authority throughout Rice County) and one to Steele County.
- 8. McDonough's equipment, for vehicular and communications operations, is listed in detail in Exhibits 4, 4A and 5. The Company operates three sales cars, five smaller trucks, 11 tractors, three 45 foot trailers, three flatbed trailers over 40 feet in length, six 48' x 102" trailers (three of which are leased), four dry vans (28' x 102"), one refrigerated truck and three forklifts.
- 9. Some of McDonough's trucks have 110" high door open ngs, powered lift gates and ramps, all of which aid in the loading and unloading of freight. if needed at the site where freight is located, the Company transports one of its forklifts in the back or trailer to the freight's location. The Company offers less than truck load (LtL) flatbed service and will store freezdble freight at its Faribault terminal (which has six dock doors and can hold ten truckloads of freight) overnight or on any weekend. Many of McDonough's customers have appreciated these services in the past.
- 10. McDonough has radio contact from its dispatcher located in Faribault with all of its tractors (the radios and other communications equipment used by the Applicant in its operations are listed in detail at Exhibit 5). The transmission range of these radios is enhanced by the COMpdny's having purc hased "repeater" power at radio antennas located in St. Pau I, Fdribau It and Owatonna. The deployment of these repeaters gives McDonough the

capability of direct radio contact with all of its drivers within a  $50-\mathrm{mile}$ 

radius of Faribault (the rough boundary of the area for which extension of Reg u I a r Route Common Carrier authority is sought in th is Appli cat ion).

McDonough's range of direct radio communication capability exceeds that of

the Protestants, whose drivers are equipped with radio only in the Twin Cities

area. Hyman and Lakeville drivers operating outside the Twin Cities, in areas

such as that sought for extension of McDonough's authority, must communicate

with their dispatchers by telephone (which they generally do only after completion of assigned runs or at set time intervals). LME drivers on peddle

runs from Roseville into a portion of the territory bought by  $\operatorname{McDonough}$  are

equipped with radios in I heir vehic I es, but the range of the radios is more

limited than that of McDonough's.

11. McDonough presented testimony in this proceeding from 27 different

shipper witness es, whose evidence regarding need for common carrier truck ing

services is detailed in subsequent Findings. Several of these witnesses have

received a benefit from McDonough's communication system, which is described

in the preceding Finding. The incidents involve situations where the shippers

were in "emergency" circumstances that required pick up or delivery of Particular freight the Same day. The incidents were resolved when McDonough's

dispatcher was able to communicate by radio directly to a driver who was mdking pick ups and del iveri es in the vic in ity where the ship Der's supp lier was

located. The driver, after being instructed by the dispatcher, was able to  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

add a stop at the supplier's establishment before leaving the (Twin Cities)

area, and the customer's freight was hauled back to McDonough's Faribault terminal. The freight was then moved on another truck to the customer's location, or picked up by the customer.

At least two such incidents involved radio communication to a truck that

had already left the  $\, \, \text{Twin} \,$  Cities  $\, \, \text{and} \,$  was then turned around and  $\, \, \text{sent} \,$  back to

the supplier's establishment. McDonough's policy in such situations is to send back any Twin Cities delivery truck that has not reached State Highway 50, in Dakota County near Lakeville. On some occasions, when a snipper has had reed for instant delivery or freight from the Twin Cities and all of McDonough i trucks have already finished their Twin Cities runs for the

day, the App Tic ant has di spatched another vehicle from Faribau It to accompl i sh the shipment.

 $1\ 2$  . Mc Donough generally sends four to six trucks per day for pick up and

delivery in the Twin Cities area. Two of these trucks peddle freight to and

from the Twin Cities, one going northeast through Northfield, Farmington and

Rosemount, and the other northwest through Lonsdale, New Prague and Prior Lake. The Company conducts daily peddle runs in the Faribault and Owatonna

areas (these trucks are dispatched to the Twin Cities for pickups after covering their local assignments), and also sends trucks on a daily basis to

the Mankato and Rochester areas. On an occasional basis, the Applicant sends trucks for a full day run to the Austin Albert Lea and Red Wing areas.

13. Mankato, Rochester, Austin, Albert Lea and Red Wing are all outside

the area where McDonough has Regular Route Common Carrier authority. The trucks utilized in pickup and delivery to these areas frequently contain freight that is being delivered under the Petitioner's Regular Route Common

Carrier authority, so, on such cc c as! ons , McDonough is "commingIing" Regu T a r

Route and Irregular Route freight.

14. On other occasions, freight bound for the Twin Cities from Mankato,

Rochester, Austin, Albert Lea and Red Wing (or any other point outside the

counties where McDonough is authorized to provide Regular Route service) is returned to Faribault and placed on trucks carrying freight lo the Twin Cities under McDonough's Regular Route authority. In effect, the Applicant in such situations is commingling Regular Route and Irregular Route freight and also "interlining" Regular Route and Irregular Route freight with itself.

In addition, McDonough sometimes picks up freight delivered to Twin Citien terminals by Regular Route Common Carriers that is bound for areas outside the counties in which it has Regular Route Common Carrier author by (places such as Rochester, Mankato, Austin, Red Wing and Albert Lea). When it delivers such ireight, picked up in the Twin Cities from Regular Route carriers, the Petitioner is, in effect, acting as an Irregular Route carrier that is i nter I i ni ng f reight with a Regu I a r Route carri er.

15. Exhibits 6 and I show McDonough's balance sheets and income statements, respectively, for calendar years 1985 and 1986. As of

December 31, 1986, the Applicant had \$261,047 in total assets (of which  $$1\ 1\ 6$ , 269 are current assets),  $$77\ 694$  in current liabi 1 i ties and stock ho I ders

equity of \$183,353, of which \$148,408 was retained earrings. The retained

earnings had grown by over \$24,000 since December 31 , 1985.

The Company's net income after taxes increased from \$21,361 in 1985 to \$25,540 in 1986.

 $\,$  16. McDonough currently offers same-day service. Approximately  $\,$  20  $\,$  to

25 percent of its shipments are handled the same day. If thin Application is

granted, McDonough intends to offer same-day service throughout the entire  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1$ 

area shown on Exhibit 2. The Company would hire an employee to be based in

Mankato, another one for the Austin-Albert Lea area and another one located in

Rochester. It is anticipated that additional employees would also be needed

in the Twin Cities area, with the possibility of one employee based in Red  $\mbox{Wing}$ .

 $17. \ {\it Approximately} \ {\it ten} \ {\it percent} \ {\it of} \ {\it McDonough's} \ {\it revenues} \ {\it come} \ {\it from} \ {\it freight}$ 

that has been "interlined" with freight from another carrier.

This process

involves delivery to or pickup at the termindlS Of other carriers of freight

the other carrier has transported from somewhere outside McDonough's service

territory. McDonough delivers (or picks up) the freight to/from customers in

its service territory. Generally, payment is made for the entire shipment to

one carrier or another, who then must reimburse the other carrier for its portion of the total delivery fee. McDonough frequently interlines freight

with the Protestants in this case. Its past experience with Hyman and Lakeville in this regard has been satisfactory to all concerned, and the interlining among the carriers is expected to continue in the future, regardless of the outcome of this proceeding.

18. If this Application is granted, McDonough intends to provide Regular Route Common Carrier service according to the following schedules:

Route 2: "West side" deliveries to Lonsdale,
Montgomery, New Prague
and Jordan, deliveries inbound to Twin Cities for LT. pickups.

Route--3: Faribault area deliveries, inbound to Twin Cities for LtL pickups.

Route 4: Owatonna area deliveries, inbound to Twin Cities for LTL  $$\rm p\ i\ ck\ u\ ps\ .$ 

Route 5: Waseca, Mankato, St. Peter area deliveries, redispatched for southwestern area pickups.

R oute 6: Dodge Center, Rochester area deliveries, redispatched for southeastern area pickups.

Route 7: Austin, Albert Lea area deliveries, redispatched for southern area pickups.

Route 8: Cannon Falls, Red Wing, Hastings deliveries, redispatched for eastern Minnesota pickups.

McDonough's drivers would leave the Faribault terminal between 6:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m. and have loads unloaded by 11:00 a.m. (approximately). After unloading they would be redispatched to pick up freight in the area they are serving.

19. Vincent Metals is a service center for the metal industries. The corporate office and a warehouse are located in Minneapolis, and the company also has warehouses in Afton and Little Canada, Minnesota. Vincent ships a variety of products used in the metals industries, from small packages up to truckloads in coil form and materials on skids and Pallets. Most of the shipping done for them by McDonough is done on flatbed trailers. The company had \$4 million in freight charges in 1986 and has been growing continuously. It issued 27,000 freight bills in 1986.

Vincent Metals has chosen McDonough and Quast as its preferred carriers to the points in the territory proposed to be erved by McDonough if this Application is granted. During the six months before the hearing, Vincent used McDonough for shipments to Owatonna (approximately three times per week), St. Peter (twice a month), Cannon Falls (twice a month), Rochester (ten times per month), Mankato (once a week), Faribault ("frequently"), Waseca (once a month) and Montgomery (two to three times per week).

If this Application is granted, Vincent intends to use McDonough to ship to Pine Island (once or twice per month), Austin (two to three times per month), lumbrota (two to three times per month), Oronoco (one to two times per morth), leSueur (two to three times pe, month), Belle Plaine (two to three times per month), LeCenter (once or twice per month) and Red Wing (once or twice per month).

Most of the shipments McDonough makes for Vincent are LTL. Vincent rates  $\begin{tabular}{ll} McDonough's service as "fantastic". \end{tabular}$ 

20. The Russ E. Smith Company sells heavy duty truck and trailer parts and equipment. Its sales are growing at 10% per year. The company currently uses McDonough for shipments once per week to Northfield and twice a month to

Owatonna and Faribault. If this application is granted, the company will use

Makanough for shipments to Rochester, Red Wing, Winona, frontenac, Wanamingo

o Mankato. Russ E. Smith's common carrier transport needs are irregular in

iture, with the need arising whenever a piece of heavy equipment breaks down

id needs a new part. Most of the company's shipments are part of weekly inu es in which Smith's own sales people deliver ordered parts to truck f ?"ets, independent haulers and repair shops. The need for the services of a

c; imon carrier arises in emergency siluations. The number of occasions when

the need for emergency part delivery service will  $\mbox{arise}$  in the  $\mbox{area}$  sought for

service in this Petition is unpredictable. Russ F. Smith has been very satisfied with McDonough's same-day service out of its Eagan office.

21. Tricker Caster and Wheel Sales distributes industrial casters and

wheels that are manufactured by Albion industries in Michigan. Eighty percent

of the company's sales go by UPS, but shipments of 100 or more caster rigs go

by common carrier, equalling 20% of the sales. Tricker uses McDonough for

shipments to Albert Lea once every two months and Wells once a month. If thin

application is granted, Tricker will use McDonough to deliver to potent i a  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 

customers in Red Wing and Mankato, but the record does not indicate the

frequency of such proposed usage. This shipper is very pleased with McDonough's same-day service.

22. Faribo Woolen Mills manufactures blankets, throw rugs, afghans and stadium robes, which it distributes on a national basis. The company grossed

\$15 million in sales in 1986 and has 175 employees. Sixty percent of its business is "retail", the shipments for which are made to department stores around the United States. Forty percent is in the CoMpdny's "specialty market" area, for sales to airlines and companies or organizations that distribute its products as premiums, incentives or holiday gifts.

This shipper uses McDonough to transport its products to the Twin Cities

approximately 5 times per week from Faribault. It also uses McDonough for

shipments to its outlet store in Red Wing once a week. Finally, McDonough has

beer used at least once a week for LTL shipments of its products for premium

promotions at some location (most often Mankato or Owatonna) within the area

proposed for extended service in this Application.

Faribo Woolen Mills is unable to predict how much business, over and above that already done by McDonough, will be given to the Applicant if this Application is granted. It is very pleased with McDonough's service.

33. Matejcek implement Company, located in Faribault, sells farm equipment, trucks and the supplies and parts for those vehicles. The company

has dealers scattered throughout the area sought to be served if this Application is granted, and also supplies Minnesota Department of Transportation maintenance facilities in Dodge Center, Rochester, Austin, Albert Lea and Mankato. McDonough has delivered some of Matejcek's supply

shipments to the Department of Transportation offices. Most of its del iveri es, particularly to the dealers it services, are made by Matejcek's private fleet. Matejcek intends to continue using McDonough for shipments to

the Department of Iransportation offices regardless of the outcome of this

Petition. They frequently use the Applicant for interstate shipments, and, as  $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right$ 

a Faribault business, are familiar with McDonough's reputation in the community (which is excellent).

 $24.\ \mbox{Met-Con}$  Construction, Inc. is a Faribault company whose headquarters

building is shared by McDonough. Tom McDonough, Richard McDonough's brother,

is President of Met-Con. Met-Con is a general contractor for the building of

commercial, industrial and some residential buildings. They supply a variety  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

of materials that go into construction jobs and do some subcontracting.

McDonough carries 99% of Met-Con's intrastate shipments by common carrier.

Its trucks generally move parts from the Metropol i tan area to Far i bau It, and

then to job sites scattered throughout the proposed service area on a daily  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$ 

basis. Met Con has also used McDonough to transport parts from Mankato, Rochester, Dodge Center and Albert lea to job sites throughout the proposed

service area. Thin business will continue whether or not the present Application is granted. The record does not reveal how often McDonough ships

products for Met-Con from the Twin Cities area to locations throughout the

area sought for extended service. Although there is evidence of "cross-shipment" within the area (for instance, between Rochester and

Mankato), there is no quantification of the volume of such shipping.

25. Plastic Profiles, Inc., of Cannon Falls manufactures window stripping and molding. It currently uses McDonough on shipments from Cannon Falls to

Mankato and the Twin Cities approximately twice per month. if this Application is granted, Plastic Profiles intends to use McDonough for shipments to anywhere in the area proposed for service where they may add a

customer. There is no a uant ific ation in the record of the amount of i nc reased

business anticipated, but this shipper prefers to use McDonough because of its

 ${\bf f}$  riendly drivers , on-time service, and lack of complaints by any consignees .

2 6 . Mercury Minnesota, Inc., located in Faribau It, is a subs id i ary of

Mercury Aircraft, which is headquartered in Hammondsport, New York. Mercury

Minnesota distributes computer frames and ships sheet metal for computer component parts. Thirty percent of its shipments are intrastate, most of which are hauled by their own trucks. Any overflow freight goes by common carrier.

McDonough is utilized for some of Mercury's overflow outbound shipments

and on inbound shipments of parts from the Twin Cities. McDonough currently

handles Mercury's shipments to IBM in Rochester approximately twice per month,

and also has been utilized for shipments of plating material to Pine Island.

If this Application is granted, McDonough will be utilized for overflow  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

outbound shipments to anywhere in the area sought for extended service. As of

now, Mercury foresees possible shipments to vendors in Mankato and Waseca, but

the amount and frequency of such shipments are not quantified on the record.

Mercury is very pleased with McDonough's service, particularly with the  $\,$ 

availability of same-day service.

 $27.\ \mbox{Foldcraft}$  Company of Kenyon, Minnesota produces folding chairs and

Dr!mar i Iy) booths and other f urn itu re f or deployment in f ast food restaurants. Most (98%) of this company's common carrier shipments are

interstate. Within Minnesota, the company generally uses Hyman, one of the

Protestants herein. Most of Foldcrdft'S use of McDonough ban been to haul

steel to Kenyon from Owatonna or the Twin Cities area. The record contains no

quantification of the frequency of such shipments, which are generally handled  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

in full truckloads on one of McDonough's flatbeds.

28. Energy Sales, Inc., is headqudrte red in Burnsville and has a

warehouse in Faribault. The company is a manufacturer's representative for

Roberts Gordon of Buffalo, New York, which produces infrared heating systems. The products shipped by Energy Sales include burners, accessories, reflectors,

controls, vacuum pumps and steel tubing. Energy Sales relien on common

carriers for outbound shipping. It rates McDonough's service as very good

because McDonough is available for same-day service when needed and there have

been no claims of damage from any consignees. Energy Sales uses McDonough

approximately once per day to the area sought for expansion in this Application. The shipments are LTL in volume and the destinations vary

throughout the territory. If this Application is granted, this shipper

intends to use McDonough for shipments to Mankato approximately 5 to 10 times per year and to I esueur approximately twice a year.

Energy Sales currently uses the Applicant for moving all of its products

to the Twin Cities, where the shipments are interlined with carriers that serve Parts of Minnesota not served on a regular basis by McDonough. Some of these loads are I !: , and others constitute full truck loads .

29. Humphrey Elevator and Truck Company, Inc., of Faribault is a manufacturer of Mdnlifts and bag lifting devices that are deployed in grain

elevators, MillS, and industrial plants. They supply custome-s with replacements parts as needed. Humphrey currently uses McDonough on outbound

shipments to Hastings and Red Wing (two to three times per year each). If this Application is granted, Humphrey will use McDonough on shipments to LeSueur approximately twice per year and may use the Applicant for shipment of

castings from Mankato that are currently being hauled by Humphrey's own truck. The frequency of shipments from Mankato is approximately 5 to 10 times

per year. Humphrey considers McDonough's service to be good.

- 30. S. Cohn and Son Auto Company, Inc. of Faribault, Minnesota is a supplier of parts for heavy construction equipment and mining equipment. Specifically, S. Cohn distributes under-carriage parts for such machines. During the year before the hearing, McDonough was used by S. Cohn for shipment
- of replacement parts to Mankato and to a community in the eastern part of the

area sought for expanded service. This shipper is impressed with McDonough's

same-day and emergency service, and its experience with Protestants Hyman and

Lakeville in that regard is that they are unable to provide the same quality

of service as the Petitioner. S. Cohn rates McDonough as a "10" on a scale of  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1$ 

I to 10. Most of S. Cohn's utilization of McDonough has been between Vdribault and the Twin Cities.

- 31. Faribo Air Conditioning and Heating of Faribault installs and services commercial, residential and industrial heating systems, air conditioners and plumbing systems. They sell and service Carrier products. This shipper uses McDonough frequently on shipments between its supplier in Bloomington and its office in Faribault. It has also used McDonough for shipments to job sites at Mankato and Austin and, if this Application is granted, intends to use McDonough on an "as needed" basis to any of 10 to 15
- job sites that it may be servicing at any point in time in the area proposed
- ${\bf f}$  o  ${\bf r}$  expansion Of authority. The record does not contain a quantification of

the number of times McDonough has been used in the territory <math>nought, norall orall ora

the location and quantity of shipments if the Application is granted.

- 32. Malt 0-Meal, a producer of hot and cold cereal products, is located
- in Northfield, Minnesota. At the present time, Malt-O-Meal uses McDonough for

LTL shipments to Mankato approximately twice a week. It anticipates that level of usage to continue regardless of the outcome of this petition.

of McDonough's business with Malt-O-Meal, both LTL and truck load, is between

Northfield and the Twin Cities. Those movements are  $\mbox{ outside }$  the  $\mbox{ scope }$  of this

Application. Malt 0 Meal is pleased with the same-day service that  $\mbox{McDonough}$  has provided to it.

33. Faribault Foods is one of McDonough's largest customers. McDonough's trucks operate around the clock for Faribault Foods in the peak canning season (generally June 15 to the last week in September). This vegetable processing company has experienced steady growth, with 1987 (estimated) gross revenues of \$35 million.

McDonough handles approximately 500 to 600 shipments per year for Faribault Foods, most of them during the "peak" season and most of them to points not covered in this Application (the biggest Minnesota destination is Cokato, where the shipper has another plant).

Regarding the proposed expanded service area, McDonough hauls canning products and materials, such as empty cans, to Faribault Foods from Mankato

and handles numerous inter canner shipments (labels, boxes, cans, and goods) between Faribault and processing plants in Rochester, Pldinview, Wells,

Lesueur, Montgomery and Dodge Center. Although frequent, the shipments are

made on an irregular, as needed basis that intensifies greatly during peak

canning time. The amount of such shipping is not quartified on the record.

if this App I ic ation is granted, Ea ribau It Foods wou Id continue to use

McDonough to the above noted locations, many of which were added for McDonough

after the bankruptcy and shut-down of Murphy Motor Freight.

34. Chaska Chemical Company, located in Savage, Minnesota, is a manufacturer of industrial cleaning compounds. Their main customers are institutions and industries such as schools, restaurants, food and canning

plants and some paper mills. Regarding the area sought for expanded service

by McDonough, Chaska Chemical ships its products in different sized drums,

pails and barrels to Albert Lea, Austin, Rocester, Mankato and Waseca.

McDonougn is used for these shipments approximately twice a week. This

situations where it needs same day deli,ery. McDonough's avdilability in

those situat ions prevents Chask a Chemic a! from havi ng Io rent a truck to Ira port its product to wherever it is needed.

Chaska Chemical uses Lakeville for much of its common carrier shipping,

but had an incident in 1986 where a Lakeville driver refused to unload drums

for a customer in Mabel, Minnesota (a point outside the area sought to be

served by McDonough). Another incident with Lakeville occurred in 1985 where !ha t carrier was unable to de I iver dish soap to a Country Kitc hen in LaCros se,

Wisconsin on the day promised. LaCrosse is also outside the scope of this

Pet ition.

If th's Application is granted, Chaska Chemical estimates that its use of

McDonough to the area sought for expanded service will increase to approximately twice a week.

35. AJS (Alloy, Tool and Specialty) Steels, Inc., of Roseville, Minnesota is a service center specializing in the tooled steel supply industry. Their

customers are mostly tool and die shops. Regarding the area sought for extended service, ATS currently uses McDonough for shipments to Rochester every two weeks. It considers McDonough's service to be "great".

if this Petition is granted, ATS intends to use McDonoug or shipments to
Pochesier, Mankato, Belle Plaine, Austin and Albert Lea a to a! of two to
Mree times per week. ATS is cutting back tr, number of shippers it intends
to use throughout Minnesota, and McDonough is one that it intends to retain.

36. CSI (Computer Supplies, Inc.) Business Forms, Inc. of New Hope, Minnesot a manuf actures stock computer f orms and data proc essing cards Ιt ago produces key punch cards, magnetic tape, diskettes, printer ribbons and Pressure sensitive labels for resale. Four to five percent of the CoMpany's business is in southeastern Minnesota. CSI currently uses McDonough approximately once per month for shipments to Waseca, Mankato and Mc Donough is used quarterly by this shipper for shipments to Lake City, Wing and Wabasha. It also uses McDonough for shipments to Owatonna la rihau It. If th is App I i cation is granted, CS lantic i pates us i ng McDonough at approximately the same level as it does currently, except that it may add an

occasional Red Wing shipment or Wabasha shipment that currently goes to Protestant Hyman.

Like some of the other shippers who are supporting the granting of this

Applicatior, CSI wants McDonough to be granted regular route authority so that

the shipper knows it wi II be using a c arrier who regu I a rly t rive Is to the

points where it has customers.

37. Eagle Fitness Systems of Owatonna is a builder of physical fitness

equipment. Eagle uses McDonough for shipments to vendors in the area sought

for expanded service several times per week. It uses McDonough for delivering

chrome plating and silk screening products and to pick up  $\mbox{machine}$  parts. If

this Application is granted, the level of service to Eagle Fitness Systems by

McDonough will remain about the same. The vendors are located in Waseca, Albert Lea, Kasson and Rochester. Eagle rates McDonough's service as very satisfactory. The product shipped by Eagle to vendors for silk screening and

chrome plating is very delicate, and it has had no problems  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

handling of the material.

38. jostens, Inc., is a nationwide company with many divisions. It has a

ring repair facility in Owatonna and a storage facility and distribution center in that city for all the forms that are used in their computer operations around the country. The company is headquartered in Bloomington

and has gross revenues of  $$580\ \text{million}$  per year. Jostens uses McDonough as

its common carrier for shipments from approximately 40 to 50  $\,$  vendors  $\,$  in the

Twin Cities area. This shipper is satisfied with McDonough's services.

With respect to the area sought for expanded service by McDonough,

will use McDonough for quarterly shipments from Mankato, shipments two to three times per month from Austin and for a daily shipment of forms betwen Owatonna and Red Wing, if this Application is granted. McDonough may also be

used to transport envelopes and other paper material on a three times per week

basis from the Twin Cities to its facility in Red Wing.

39. Omark-HydroAx, of Owatonna, manufactures tree shearing machines and

rotary axes. Omark currently uses McDonough on inbound shipments from the !win Cities of steel and upholstery that go into the vehicles it assembles.

If this Application is granted, Omark will utilize McDonough for the inbound

shipment of castings from a company in Mankato. There  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1$ 

six such shipments per year.

Omark is extremely pleased with McDonough's service, and for the past two

years has been using a stamp on its purchase orders that tells vendors to use

UPS or McDonough on moves in Minnesota.

 $40.\ \mbox{Images}$  on Metal is a silk screening operation in Kasson, Minnesota.

Images on Metal is the silk screening operation used by fagle  $\,$  Fitness  $\,$  Systems,

and its present business with McDonough is the inbound and  $\,$  outbound shipment  $\,$ 

of silk screening for fdgle. These movements occur approximately twice per

week, and will continue whether or not this Petition is granted. Since Images

on Metal has no loading dock, the company especially values McDonough's service that includes lift gates and power jacks. It rates McDonough's services as "excellent".

41. Austoft, Inc. (USA) is the former Owatonna Manufacturing Company in

Owatonna. The company produces Skidsteer loaders and attachments, as well as

buckets and apparatus for certain applications of those units. Austoft currently uses McDonough approximately two times per week to make deliveries to dealers in Red Wing, take City, Kasson, Goodhue, Rochester and 7umbrota, as

well as deliveries to site locations where parts are needed for broken-down equipment. If this Application is granted, it anticipates using McDonough with the same reguldrity as it does as present. This shipper rates McDonough's service as "excellent". For interstate shipments to Iowa and Wisconsin, Austoft has decided to replace its former Carrier with McDonough.

42. !he King Company of Owatonna manufactures industrial heaters, commer 31 ventilating systems, coils, air curtains and refrigeration equipment. Many of its shipments are handled on flatbed trailers. It uses

McDonough for shipments to the Twin Cities ten to twelve times per week and to

Mankato and Waseca an aggregate of approximately twice per month. King expects that level of service to continue whether or not this Application is

granted, but it may add shipments to Austin and Albert Lea. The quantity and

frequency of such shipments is not specified in the record. This shipper also

uses Protestant Hyman twice per week for outbound shipments.

43/ E.F. Johnson Company of Waseca manufactures electronic components and

communications equipment. It receives shipments from Vincent Metals of  $\operatorname{St.}$ 

Paul, approximately 15% of which are delivered by McDonough. If this Application is granted, its level of service from McDonough is anticipated to  $\,$ 

remain about the name.

 $44.\ \mathrm{Katolight}$ , a manufacturer of generators in Mankato, uses McDonough's

nervice outbound approximately six times per year and inbound, from St.

approximately 3 to 4 times  $\,\mathrm{pet}\,$  month. Although  $\,\mathrm{Hyman}\,$  provides  $\,\mathrm{regular}\,$  route

- a Vice to Mankato, Katolight chooses to use McDonough because its rates are
  - ,c er. This shipper rates McDonough's service as "excellent".
- 45. The Wenger Corporation of Owatonna manufactures equipment for the performing arts, such as chairs, risers, staging, accoistical reflective services and sound isolation rooms, which are sold to schools, colleges, park

and recreation systems and to various government agencies. Wenger utilizes

McDonough on shipments from Rochester every 30 to 40 days and from Mankato approximately every 45 days. Wenger rates McDonough's service as

" excellent". Wenger uses Protes art Hyman for most of its outbound shipments

to the area sought for extended service in this AppliCdtion. If this

Application is granted, Wenger intends to allow McDonough to bid for that business. Otherwise, it plans to use McDorougt At the same level of service

currently utilized. The current level of shipments to the area is sporadic.

46. With respect to the 12 specific routes over which McDonough seeks an

extension of its present Regular Route Common Carrier authority, the evidence

provided by supporting shippers, as  $\mbox{detailed}$  in Findings 19 45,  $\mbox{demonstrates}$ 

the following number of firm shipments to points along those routes, if the

Petition is granted (on a monthly basis):

Route (I 35 lo Albert lea) -- 18;

Route 2: (169 between Twin Cities and Mankato) - 20;

Route 3: (to Austin) - 7;

Route 4: (Highway 42 to Rochester) - 48; Route 5: (Highway 61 to Wabasha) - 20;

```
Route 6: (Highway 57 from Hader to Kasson) -- 1:
Route 7: (Highway 14 between Mankato and Rochester) - 90;
Route 8: (Highways 21 and 13 between Jordan and Albert lea) - 20;
Route 9: (Highway 56 and 1 90 from Hampton to Austin) - less than 1;
Route 10: (Highway 63 from Rochester to Lake City) -- 5;
Route 11: (Highway 58 from Zumbrota to Red Wing) - 26;
Route 12: (Highway 22 and 1-90 from Mankato to Albert Lea) 13.
```

- 47. Many of the shippers who support McDonough in this proceeding are former customers of Murphy Motor Freight, which recently went out of business.
- 48. Many of the shippers supporting this Application operate, customers that operate on a "Just-In-Time" (JIT) production schedule. Many operations are converting to the concept. JIT operations involve not ordering or storing spare parts and material used in production until just before they are needed in the making of the commodity being produced. This method of operation cuts down on warehousing and inventory expense and, most importantly, allows the business to retain cash as long as possible before purchasing goods used in the production process. This system places а heavy reliance on efficient, dependable transportation of goods used in the production process from warehouses and vendors located elsewhere. If the business (or the supplier) does not have its own trucks, and the product is too heavy f or couri er -type shipping , common carriers are c alied upon to f i II the transportation need. McDonough's emphasis on timely,
- the transportation need. McDonough's emphasis on timely, efficient service is, in part, a response to the needs of shippers who are shifting to Jll production methods.
- 49. Many of the supporting shippers in this case expressed a desire that McDonough be granted regular route authority to serve their loCdtion, even though McDonough may be able to serve them at the same level now under its statewide irregular route authority. they feel more comfortable with a trucker whose driver is in the vicinity on a daily (or otherwi se regular) basis and is familiar with their commodities and their business's own particular needs. Some are reluctant to become more frequent customers of the Applicant when its only authority to serve them is irregular, and some feel (or have been told by various sources) that McDonough cannot legally serve their needs without a grant of regular route authority. A grant of regular route authority would eliminate this ambiguity in the minds of many potential shippers. A is o , regula r ro u te ra tes a re less th an irt\*le4or ro u teratesfor LIL shipments.

50. Protestant Hyman Freightways, Inc. is one of the largest regular route carriers of general commodities within Minnesota. The company's headquarters are in St. Paul, and its main terminal in Roseville has 120 loading dock doors. Its Regular Route authority is stated in Exhibit 16.

Hyman had revenues of \$61,800,000 in 1986 and anticipates revenues of over \$10 million for 1981. Approximately \$5 million of its 1987 revenues will be from intrastate operations, a growth of 25% from \$4 million in 1986. In the area of south central and southeastern Minnesota currently served by McDonough and proponed for extension in this Application, Hyman had LTL intrastate revenues of approximately \$650,000 during the period between January I and June 23, 1987.

in addition to its Roseville terminal, Hyman has agency terminals serving

the area prepared for extended service in this case at MankdtO, Red Wing, Shakopee, Winona, Hastings, Owatonna and Rochester. Rochester, Red Wing and Owatonna each have two company drivers domiciled in those locations, in addition to the agents' employees.

51. Hyman owns 140 line-haul tractors, 1311 line-haul trailers, 125 pickup and delivery tractors, 87 pickup and delivery trailers and 24 "straight

trucks" used in pickup and delivery. All of this Protestant s flatbed trailers are based in Roseville. With respect to the proposed service territory, Hyman's agents have the following equipment at their locations (some of which is company-owned):

Mankato - 4 vans (trailers 4?' to 43' in length), 4 "pup" trailers 29' or

in length, 3 tractors and I straight truck;

Rochest-r - 2 vans, 3 pup trailers, 2 tractors and 5 straight trucks;

Shakopee 3 vans , 2 pups, 2 tractors;

Hastings 5 vans, I storage van, 2 tractors and 3 straight trucks;

Red Wing 3 vans, 4 pupo, 3 tractors and I straight truck; Winona - I van, 5 pups, 3 tractors and 2 straight trucks;

3 vans, 5 pups, 10 tractors. Owatonna

52. In addition to the locations mentioned in Finding 51, Hyman

all of the communities where shippers who testified in support of this Application are located. It serves Albert Lea, Austin, Winona, Lake City and

Wabasha on a daily basis under its regular route authority, as well as its agent-terminal cities.

53. Exhibit 19 is a traffic study introduced by Hyman showing intrastate

shipments to, from and within the territory sought for extended regular

service by McDonough. The study examines the period between October 23

November 30, 1986, During that time, Hyman made 841 shipments, 59 of

took two days or more to complete. The study reflects no same-day deliveries.

54. Hyman is not authorized to provide regular route common carrier service to all of the points sought by McDonough for regular route service in

this extension Application. It cannot serve the off-route points of Henderson, Le Center and Cleveland; it has no authority over Highway 22 between Mankato and 1-90, nor over Highway 13 between New Prague and 1-

cannot serve points on Highway 3 between Farmington and Faribault; it has no authority on Higoway 63 between Lake City and Rochester, nor over 1-35 between

Albert lea and Owatonna. Most of these points are covered in routes 2,

32 and 33 of an Application filed by Hyman in March of 1987 for extension of

its Regular Route Common Carrier Certificate.

55. Hyman presently does business with 19 of the ?I shippers who testified in support of this Application: Katolight, Foldcraft, AlS Steel,

VS!, Omark, Faribo Woolen Mills, S- Cohn and Son, Humphrey Elevator, Matejcek,

Mercury of Minnesota, Vincent Metals, Eagle Performance Systems, King Company,

Wenger Corporation, Josten's, Chaska Chemicals, Plastics Profiles, OMC (Austoft) and E.F. Johnson.

Most of Hyman's growth in the south central and southeastern Minnesota region has been since 1980, following the demise of Witte Transportation Company.

56. Protestant Lakeville Motor Express is another large Minnesota carrier. In 1986, Lakeville had over \$9 million in gross revenues, of which

approximately 60% was intrastate (\$5.4 million). Lakeville's Main terminal is

at its corporate headquarters in Roseville, a complex which houses its general

offices, maintenance area and 54 dock-doors. Within the area sought for extended service by McDonough in this case, Lakeville serves shippers out of

Roseville and from agency terminals at Cannon Falls (I door), Rochester (6 doors), Austin (4 doors) and New Ulm (8 doors). In addition to the Lakeville

equipment based at those locations, the agents have tractors (I at Cannon Falls, 4 at Rochester, 3 at Austin and 5 at New Ulm) that are deployed for Lakeville's use.

57. Lakeville's operating ratio in 1986 was 98 (expenses, before taxes.

were 98% of revenues). The CoMadny currently is in an "excess capacity" situation, meaning that it is not getting full usage out of its equipment. This is true system-wide and in the area sought for expansion of authority by McDonough.

be. lakeville makes two peddle runs per day from its Roseville terminal

into the area relevant to this Application - one to Northfield, Faribault and

Owatonna (already served by McDonough on a regular route basis) and one to Wabasha, via Highway 61 through Red Wing. it also makes road runs between the

Rochester, Austin, Cannon Falls and New Ulm agency terminals.

 $59.\ Exhibit\ 25$  is the result of an Intrastate Delivery Study performed by

lakevi II e for shipments of freight from the II win II i es to south cent ra II and

southeastern Minnesota in the areas of Rochester, Austin Albert Lea, Mankato,

Owatonna-Faribault-Northfield and Cannon Falls for the first three months of

1987. The total shipments were 2,552, of which 2,549 (over 99%) were delivered overnight. Approximately 72% of the shipments were to the Rochester, Austin-Albert Lea areas (1842 of 2552). An unknown number of those

shipments were of freight destined for points outside the area for which McDonough seeks extended authority in this Petition.

60. With respect to the area within the Application, lakeville can serve

all intermediate points between Mankato and Owatonna, via U.S. Highway 14, as

well as Rochester on Highway 52. Lakeville does not have authority to serve

the smaller communities of Chester, Stewartville, Byron, Kasson, Dodge Center,

Mantorville, Wasioja, Claremont, Havana, Elysian and Medicine Lake. However,

Hyman has authority to serve all of the intermediate points lying along Highway 14 between Rochester and Mankato. While Lakeville can serve Jordan and Albert Lea, it does not have authority to serve the small intermediate and

off-route points listed in McDonough's Application along Highways 21 and 13 between Jordan and Albert Lea. With respect to McDonough's proposed Route 9,

Lakeville does not have authority to serve the intermediate and off-route points between Hampton and Hayfield, with the exception of Kenyon. Of the ten

off route points listed on that route, LME does have authority to serve Brownsdale, Sargeant, Waltham and Hayfield. Although Lakeville can serve both

Rochester and lake City, it cannot serve the small intermediate and 3 off route points listed on McDonough's proposed Route 10 (U.S. Highway 63). lakeville does not have authority to serve the small intermediate and listed

off route points on proposed Route 11 (Minnesota Highway 58) between Zumbrota and Red Wing.

61. lakeville processed loss and damage claims on which it made payment

in an average of 17 days in 1986. The amount paid in claims (\$26,130.05) was 0,290 percent of LME's revenues for 1986 (\$9,025,127). The company's ratio of

claims versus shipments was .00219 (I in 457), less than one fifth of the national average.

Hyman attempts to process all of its damage claims to payment within 90 days. Most are completed within 30 days of the claim date. Since it has reieived no CoMPIdints specifically criticizing the speed and methodology of its claims processing, Hyman rates itself as doing a good job in that area.

- 62. Many of the shipments between agency points performed by Hyman and Lakeville are carried on runs back to their Roseville terminals and then placed on trucks going out to the agency which handles the destination point of the freight, rather than being transported directly between the agents' i ocations. If this Application is granted, McDonough will, in many cases, cut
- nown on this time consuming process by shipping direct from origin to Destination or taking the freight back through Faribault, which is more centrally located in the service territory than Roseville.
- 6 3 . In add ition to Hyman and lakevi II e , the territory sought for extended regular route service by McDonough is served, at least in part, by the following regular route carriers: Deike, Twin City News, Gross, Quast, Crouse and Century Mercury.
- 64. Exhibit 13 is McDonough's route card. The card makes no representation that McDonough will provide service to the 58 communities listed on either a regular route or irregular route basis. ther, it announces 'VIRECI SERVICE BLIWEEN . . . " the coununitien. b aming Prairie,
- C, non Falls, Faribault, Hastings, Kenyon, Mankato, New Prague, Northfield,
- Owatonna, Rochester and Waseca are listed on the card in bold type.  $\mbox{McDonough}$
- does not have RRCC authority to serve Cannon Falls, Kenyon, Mankato, Rochester
- or Waseca. At least 21 of the  $\ 47$  communities mentioned in lower case on the
- card are located in counties which McDonough lacks current RRCC authority to
- serve, but all are located in areas sought for extended service in this Application.

## PERIINENT STATUTORY-AND REGULATORY EXCERPTS

Minn. Stat. 221.021 (1986) provides:

No person shall operate as a motor carrier or advertise or otherwise hold out as a motor carrier without a certificate or permit in full force and effect. A certificate or permit may be suspended or revoked upon conviction of violating a provision of sections ?21.011 to 221.296 or an

order or rule of the commissioner or board governing the operation of motor carriers, and upon a finding by the court that the violation was willful. The board may, for good cause shown after a hearing, suspend or revoke a permit for a violation of a provision of sections 221.011 to 221.296 or an order or rule of the commissioner or board issued under this chapter.

Minn. Stat. 221.071, qubd. 1 (1986), in relevant part, provides:

If the board finds from the evidence that the petitioner is fit and able to properly perform the service proposed and that public convenience and necessity require the granting of the petition or part of the petition, it shall issue a certificate of public convenience and necessity to the petitioner. In determining whether a certificate should be insued, the board shall give primary consideration to the interests of the public that might be affected, to the transportation service being furnished by a railroad which may be affected by the granting of a certificate, and to the effect which the granting of the certificate will have upon other transportation services essential to the communities which might be affected by the granting of the certificate. The board may issue a certificate as applied for or issue it for a part only of the authority sought and may attach to the authority granted terms and conditions as in its judyment public convenience and necessity may require

Minn. Stat. 221.011, subds. 9 and 11 (1986) provide:

Subd. 9. "Regular route common carrier" means a person who holds out to the public as willing, for hire, to transport . . . property by motor vehicle between fixed termini over a regular route upon the public highways.

Subd. 11. "Irregular route common carrier" means a person who holds out to the public as willing to t ransport property from place to place over highways for hire but who does not operate between fixed termini or over a regular route or on regular time schedules

Minn. Rule /800.0100, subp. 4, provides:

The term 'fit and able' shall mean that the applicant in financially able to conduct the proposed business; that the applicant's equipment is adequate and properly maintained; that the applicant is competent, qualified and has the experience necessary to conduct the proposed business; that the applicant is mentally and physically able to comply with rules, regulatiors and statutes of the commission.

Minn. Rule 7800.1600 (Regular Route Common Carrier) provides:

subpart 1. Carrying of f reight as irregu I a r route connon c a r r i e r . If a regular route common carrier of freight is also an irregular route common carrier as defined ir Minnesota Statutes, section 221.011, subdivision 11, or a contract carrier, as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 221.011, subdivision 12, it shall not carry freight as a regu I a r route ( oanon c arrier, and as an irregu I a r route common carrier, or as a contract carrier in the same vehicle at the same time.

Subpart 2. Interchange of freight. No regular route common carrier may interchange freight with an irregular route t annon c arr i e r or wi th a c on t ra c t c arr i e r,

Minn. Rule /800.1100 (Permit Contract Carriers), subpart 2, provides, in relevant part:

Subp. 2. Interline freight. Permit carriers may not in ter 1 ine freight with another permit carrier or with regular route common carriers

Minn. Stat. 221.011, subd. 14 provides:

'Permit carrier' means a motor carrier embraced within this chapter other than regular route common carriers and petroleum carriers.

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Administrative law Judge makes the following:

### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Any of the foregoing Findings of Fact more properly designated as Conclusions are hereby adopted as such.
- 2, The Transportation Regulation Board has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the hearing.
- 3. Proper notice of the hearing was timely given, and all relevant Substantive and procedural requirements of law or rule have been fulfilled and, therefore, the matter is properly before the Administrative law Judge.
- 4. From the Applicant', experience, knowledge of the regulations and financial condition, the Judge concludes that it is fit and able within the meaning of Minn. Rule 7800.0100, Subp. 4.
- 5. The Applicant's vehicles, being regularly maintained and free from defects, are within the safety requirements prescribed by the Department.
- 6. The Applicant has proven that public convenience and necessity require
  -the granting of an extension of its present regular route common carrier authority to transport general commodities as follows:

Along U.S. Highway 14 to all intermediate and off route points between Mankato and Rochester; along U.S. Highway 52 to all intermediate and off-route points between the Minneapolis St. Paul Metropolitan area and Rochester; and along Minnesota Highway 58 to all intermediate and off route points between Red Wing and Zumbrota.

The roctes are listed in the Application as proposed Routes 4 (Highway 52), 7 (Highway 14) and 11 (Highway 58).

1. The Applicant has not proven that public convenience and necessity

require the granting of regular route common carrier authority along the routes proposed for extended authority in this Application which are not specified in Conclusion b, because the demonstrated volume of service along those routes is too low.

- 8. The service proposed to be offered by McDonough along the routes specified in Conclusion 6 will provide a significant public benefit over existing service in that same day service will be provided and McDonough has readily available specialized equipment, such as flatbed trailers, to accommodate particular needs of shippers along those routes.
- 9 . A grant of extended regular route common carrier authority to the Applicant along the routes specified in Conclusion b will decrease, to some extent, the traffic available to competing common carriers. It will not, however, jeopardize their financial viability so as affect the availability of
- necessary transportation service available to the public.
- 10. A grant of the regular route common carrier authority specified in Conclusion 6 will not have an adverse affect on transportation service furnished by any railroad.
- 11. In certain of its operations, the Applicant has carried freight as a regular route common carrier and as an irregular route common carrier in the same vehicle at the same time, in violation of Minn. Rule 1800.1600, subp. 1.
- 12. In certain of its operations, the Applicant has violated Minn. Rule 7800.1/00, subp. 2 by interlining freight carried under irregular route common carrier authority with regular route common carriers.
- 13. The rule Violdtions noted at Conclusions 11 and 12 do not merit the Board's sanction at this time within the meaning of Minn. Stat. 221.021 and the Board's Order In the, Matter of the ,Petition of Quast\_Transfer Inc. , Docket Nos IRCC 310, RRCC 551/MR 86-281; RRCC 515/E 86 443, RRCC Order No. 8 (effective I/1/88).
- 14. The Applicant's present level of daily service to the Mankato and Rochester areas, and freight delivery and pickup for entire days in the Austin-Albert Lea area (all areas which it is authorized to serve on an irregular route basis) is of a sufficient volume and frequency to resemble, in some respects, operations that are regular route in nature, The provision of this service does not, however, merit Board sanction at this time within the meaning of Minn. Stat. 221 .021 and the Board's Order In the Matter of the Petition of Quast Transfer, Inc., Docket Nos. IRCC 310, RRCC 551/MR-86-281;

THIS REPORT IS NOI AN ORDER AND NO AUTHORITY IS GRANTED HEREIN. THE PUBLIC uliolils COMMISSION WILL ISSUE THE ORDER OF AUTHORITY WHICH MAY ADOPI OR differ FROM THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS.

RRCC 515/E 86-443, RRCC Order No. 8 (effective 1/1/88).

# RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Public Utilities Commission that it issue the following:

#### ORDERS

IT IS HERF BY ORDERED that the App I i cant Is current regu I a r route common carrier authority be extended to add the following routes:

- (d) Between the Minnedpplis-St. Paul Metropolitan area and Rochester via U.S Highway 52, serving A!! intermediate and off route points, including Oronoco, Pine Island Zumbrota, Wanamingo and Cannon Falls;
- (b) Between Rochester and Mankato via U.S. Highway 14, serving all intermediate and off route points including Chester, Stewartville, Byron, Kasson, Dodge Center, Mantorville, Wasioja, Claremont, Havana, Owatonna, Elysian and Madison lake;
- (c) Between /umbrota and Red Wing, via Minnesota Highway 58, serving all intermediate and off-route points, including Bellechester, Belvidere M is and Red Wing,

All routes granted for extension as detailed and in the Applicant's existing authority may be lacked to provide direct service.

1t IS FURtHeR ORDERED: that the Applicant shall CFAsE ard DF4151 from the  $\,$ 

commingling of regular and irregular route freight; that the Applicant shall CEASE and DES I ST from inter lining f reight carried under i rrequ I a r route common

carrier authority with regu I a r route carriers and that the Applicant s ha  $\ensuremath{\text{II}}$ 

ASE and de SISI from I he transportat ion of any f reight to the Aust in and i bert I eavic in it i es except under irregu I a r route common carrier authority.

failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Order will be considered grounds for the suspension or revocation of the Applicant's irregular route authority.

Dated this day of February, 1988.

RICHARD C. Luis Administrative law Judge

### NOTICE

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. 14.62, suhd. 1, the agency is required to nerve its i inal decision upon each party and the Administrative law Judge by first class mail.

Reported: laped. (35 IaPeS). Transcripts Prepared by:
Harold M. Reiner and Allen J. Thiry, Court Reporters.

#### MEMORANDUM

The term "public convenience and necessity", as contained in Minn. Stat.

221.071 (1986), is not susceptible of a fixed definition that will apply in

all circumstances. Quinn Distributing Co. v. Quast Transfer Inc. 288 Minn. 442, 451, 181 N.W.2d 696 701 (1970). It is a question of fact to be determined by the Board. Petition of American Freight Systems Inc. 380 N.W.2d 192, 196 (Minn.App. 1 986 Quinn Distributing Co. v. Quast Transfer

Inc.,, supra

The Administrative Law Judge has analyzed the concept of public convenience and necessity in light of three criteria adopted by the Minnesota

Public Utilities Commission in In the Matter of GWNCO Transport.\_Inc,,

113/A-81-1107 (October 26, 1982). The GWNCO criteria 'are stated in Petition

of American Freight\_Systems,\_Inc., 380 N.W.2d 192, 194 (Minn.App. 1986). The

criteria are:

- 1. A finding that existing service is inadequate.
- s. There is adequate existing service but the proposed new servire would offer additional benefits not presently avdilable to the shipping public.
- 3. Existing service is adequate but is so unduly concentrated in a single area or a few carriers as to render the public vulnerable to abuses characteristic of absolute monopoly.

The Court of Appeals, in Petition of American FreiAht Systems \_Inc,, supra,

did not specifically adopt the GWNCO criteria but concluded that under previous case law or those criteri , the decision of the Board denying a grant of authority should be upheld.

The Administrative Law Judge does not consider the criteria listed in GWNCO supra, to be susceptible to a mechanistic interpretation which avoids

the necessity of balancing all factors to determine the requirements of public

convenience and necessity. He believes that the appropriate legal test for

determining whether the grant of an application for regular route carrier authority is required by public convenience and necessity was most properly

stated by the Public Utilities Commission in New Ulm\_Freight lines, Inc,, RRCC

649/A 15-24, April 24, 1979. The multi-factor test enuciated in that proceeding requires a balancing of the following factors to determine the public interest: public need for the proposed service; the ability of existing carriers to meet that need; the effect on the existing carriers of a

new grant of authority; whether the service offered provides an improvement to

the public; whether traffic volumes are sufficient to support an additional

carrier; and whether the new service will introduce desirable competition. While the factors enumerated in New Ulm Freight Lines. In;,, supra, largely

coincide with the GWNCO criteria, it should be clear that all of the factors

to be considered must be balanced and weighed and no single factor is

determinative, The Board has recently af f irmed these standards of review in

In the Matter of the Petition of Morrell Transfer, Inc., Docket No. RRCC 405/E 86 553, Order No. 2081 4 (9/23/87).

The Minnesota supreme Court has held that when service is inadequate, the  $\,$ 

regulatory authority is required to grant additional operating authority co extensive with the demonstrated deficiency. Quinn Distributing Co. v. Quast Transfer, Inc., supra, 181 N.W.?d at 701 (1970); Munson Drayline v.

Murphy Motor Freight lines 259 Minn. 382, 107 N.W.2d 850 (1961). McDonough

asserts that it has demonstrated existing service is inadequate because the Protestants are unable to provide same day service in the territory nought for

expanded authority and because it provides storage of reelable freight at any

time. In audition, McDonough argues that its communication system is superior

to that of the Protestants, thus providing a service to shippers in the

territory proposed for expanded authority over and above that which is presently available from existing certificated carriers. In addition, McDonough a asserts that its spec id I i zed equipment, such as trailers with 110"-high door openings, automatic lift gates and power jacks, trailer and tdilgate ramps and a larger number of flatbeds available for specialized hauling in the service territory, all of which services are desired by certain

shippers in the territory from time to time, demonstrate further that the service offered by existing regular route carriers is inadequate.

Adequacy of existing service, like public convenience and necessity, is a

fact question incapable of precise definition. The courts have considered adequacy of service in regard to whether traf fic avdilab  ${\tt I}$  e f or transportat ion

is transported satisfactorily on a regular basis. Dahlen Transpprt, Inc.  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Hahne 261 Minn. 218 112 N.W.2d 630 (1962); Quinn Distributing Co.v.\_Quast

transfer, Inc., supra.

The Administrative law Judge concludes that the isoldted service complaints against the Protestants in this proceeding do not substantiate a finding that the service they provide is generally inadequate— The Protestdnts have shown that they usually provide next-day service and satisfatory renolution of customer complaints. Given the volume of traffic

transpoted by them, some isoldted customer complaints and OCaSional misunder; tdndings are to be expected. The great majority of shipper wilnesses

testifying in this proceeding who have used the services of Hyman and lakeville indicate that they are satisfied with the Protestants' services and

would use them in the future. The Administrative law Judge is unable to find

that the current service of the Protestants in the territory sought

expanded duthority is unsdtisfactory.

The provision by McDonough of same-day service, both in tHe territory it now serves under regular route authority and in the area sought for expanded authority, is, however, a significant improvement for the shipping public in

those areas. The record establishes that the Protestants do not provide such

service, McDonough is currently providing that service on 25% of its shipments, and intends to provide it throughout the entire territory to which

exparded authority is sought on an as needed basis.

!he Applicant's communications system is viewed by the Administrative law

judge an sperior to that of the Protestants. The principal benefit to the shipping public of McDonough's equipping all their trucks wit! radios that an

i onmunicate with the company dispatcher is that the Applicant can react

quickly when service needs arise in the area it serves. The Protestants

systems, of either having a driver complete a run and then call in f or

mesnages regarding the return haul, or calling in on timed intervals, simply

fail to meet the needs of shippers who discover that they require pickup and/or deliveries anytime after the early afternoon. McDonough can cover such

demand due to its communication system. No evidence exists in the record of

any certificated motor carrier that serves the territory in question, besides

McDonough, who is capable of connecting the driver, dispatche, consignee and

supplier at one time to coordinate a shipment efficiently. It is concluded that McDonough's communications system provides a proposed new service that offers additional benefits not presently available to the shipping public.

Both Hyman's Traffic Manager and Lakeville's President testified that their companies provided same-day service upon request. However, the traffic

studies introduced by those witnesses, covering thousands of shipments in south central and southeast Minnesota, failed to reveal any evidence of the provision of service from a shipper to a consignee on the same day.

The presence in the service territory of readily available flatbed trailers, power lift gates, ramps, and the willingness to transport forklifts

on its vehicles to aid the shippers or consignees in loading or unloading of freight also stand as evidence of additional benefits not presently available

to the shipping public in the territory into which McDonough seeks expanded regular route service.

The Administrative taw Judge has recommended a grant of extended regular route common carrier authority along some, but not all of the routes McDonough

has petitioned for addition to its authority.

The factors of a public need for the proposed service and the ability of ex i st i ng c arriers to meet that need, and whether traf f icvo lumes are sufficient to support an additional carrier, are best addressed, in this case.

by the testimony from shippers who supported a granting of this Application. The Judge has attempted to quantify the amount of shipping these witnesses will tender to McDonough if this Application is granted in the area proposed for extended service and along the specific routes for which McDonough has

applied for that extended authority. Finding 46 is a summary of that endeavor. It seems clear that the traffic McDonough will be handling along

its proposed route 7 (Highway 14 between Mankato and Rochester), along its

proposed route 4 (Highway 52 from the Twin Cities to Rochester) and along route 11 (Highway 58 between Zumbrota and Red Wing) is sufficient to establish

the pub! ic need for regul a r route common carrier service from the App Tic ant

along those routes. The fact that the Applicant currently carries an extensive volume of freight along those routes that is not being carried by

certific ated c arriers having the authority to trave I the same routes st and  ${\bf s}$  as

evidence of sufficient volume for a granting of regular route common carrier

authority to the Applicant along those highways to the points specified.

The amount of demonstrated shipper need along routes 3 (Twin Cities to

Austin), 6 (Highway 57 between Hader and Kasson), route 9 (Highway 56 and 1-90

from Hampton to Austin), route 10 (Highway 63 from Rochester to lake City) and

route 12 (Highway 22 and 1-90 from Mankato to Albert Lea) are clearly insufficient to support the granting of regular route common carrier certificate authority. Routes 2 (169 between the Twin Cities and Mankato), 5

(Highway 61 from the Twin Cities to Wabasha) and 8 (Highways 21 and 13 between

Jordan and Albert Lea), along all of which McDonough will be providing services to the shippers who testified approximately 20 times per month, and

route I (I 35 between the Twin Cities and Albert Lea), along which it will

provide services to those shippers 18 times per month, are all recommended as

routes for which regular route common carrier authority should be denied. The  $\,$ 

Administrative law Judge has concluded that the demonstrated volume of potential shipping along those routes is too sporadic and irregular in nature

to merit an extension to them of the Petitioner's present regular route common

carrier authority. Along the routes recommended for extension, however, i has been concluded that enough business will be generated by shippers who testified in support of this Application to enable McDonough to aggregate LTL

shipments and make deliveries along those routes in a profitable fashion.

It has not been shown that the granting of regular rose authority along the rec onnended rout es would jeopardize the financ i a I viability of ex i sting

carriers to the point where necessary transposition service available to the

public would be threatened. The evidence of toe supporting shippers, taken as

a whole, shows that the amount of business which the Protestants will lose to

McDonough if regular route common carrier authority is granted along the routes recommended wi II be insuf f ic i en t to jeopdrdize those carriers' current.

operating rdtioS.

The fact that, in certain of its operations, McDonough has carried freight

as a regular route common carrier and as an irregular route common carrier in

the same vehicle at the name lime (violating Minn. Rule 7800.1600, subp. 1),

and the fact that in certain of its operations, McDonough has  $\mbox{\ violated}$   $\mbox{\ Minn.}$ 

Rule 7800.1700, subp. 2 by interlining freight carried under irregular route

common carrier authority with regular route common carriers, raise issues that

are matters of concern in this proceeding. Such activity bears upon the question of whether McDonough is "fit and able" to operate within the meaning

of Minn. Rule 7800.0100, subp. 4.

Of further concern is the fact that the Applicant is presently providing

services to the Mankato, Rochester and Austin-Albert Lea areas of a sufficient

volume and frequency to resemble, in some respects, operations that are regular route in nature.

There is no evidence in the record that McDcnough is financially unable to

conduct ;he proposed business or that its equipment is inadequate or improperly maintained. Furthermore, the Applicant is competent, qualified and

has the experience necessary to conduct the proposed business. However,

rule violations and conduct of its business in a fashion that resembles the provision of regular route common carrier service in territory for which such

service is not authorized obviously bear upon the fitness and ability of McDonough to properly perform the services it proposes in the area of extended

## authority.

The Board may consider sanctions against any motor carrier that violates

the statute and rules the Board in authorized to administer. In the recent

case of In the Matter of the Petit  $\,$  of Quast Transfer Inc Docket Nos. IRCC 310, RRCC 551/MR-86-281; RRCC 515/E-86-443, RRCC Order No. 8 (effective 1/1/88), the Board revoked the irregular route common carrier authority of a

carrier against whom violations similar to those committed by McDonough had been proven. However, the Violations committed by Quast Transfer literally

dwarf those establi bed on the part of McDonough in this proceeding.

The Administralive law Judge does not believe !hat huch severe sanctions

as suspension or revocation would be appropriate in this case. Minn. Stat. 221.021 (1986), is the Statutory authorization granting the Board the power

to suspend or revoke a permit for violation of the provisions of Chapter 221

or any order or rule of the Commissioner of Transportation or the Board issued

under Chapter 221. The statute authorizing the imposition of sanctions contemplates, in the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, a separate

hearing to determine appropriate discipline. Only after due notice and the

granting of ful I due proc es s under Minn. Stat .  $\,$  221 .021 wou id sanctions such

as suspension or revocation of McDonough's permit for the violations shown in

this record be appropriate. This proceeding is limited to a consideration of

whether McDonough has established facts necessary to meet the criteria for

granting of a proposed extension of its regular route common carrier authority, and is not, of itself, a disciplinary proceeding.

It is appropriate, however, to analyze the violations of statute and rule

McDonough has committed, and the extent to which it is acting as a regular

route common Carrier in areas where it has no authority to so operate. The  $\,$ 

Administrative law Judge has made such an analysis and concludes that, even if

this were an investigatory proceeding, it would be appropriate only to order

McDonough to cease and desist from such violative activity. The Board's

decision in In the - Matter of the Petition\_of \_Quast Transfer, Inc., supra. is

instructive in this regard for comparison purposes.

On page 29 of the Quast decision, the Board states that to determine  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

whether or not there is  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{good}}$  cause for revocation (of, in the Quast case, an

irregular route permit) the Board has to consider (1) magnitude of the violations, (2) willfulness of the violations, (3) impact of the violations on

the industry and (4) willingness to submit to regulatory authority. In i t s

analysis of the magnitude of Quast's violations, the Board compared

Complainant v. HymAn Freightways,\_Inc,,-Respondent, Docket No. 0295-BT,

(November SO, 1972) and that shown in Minnesota Department of Public Service,

el al., Complainants v., New Ulm Transfer, Inc,, and New Ulm Freight Lines.

Inc., Respondents, Docket No. c-Bt-IRCC and LS 3/16/77 And LS 28454-1

(April 14, 1976) Those decisions set forth in detail criteria to which the

Board will look in determining whether a motor carrier's operations are those

of an irregular route carrier or a regular route carrier. With respect to

analyzing the magnitude of the violations, the Board pointed out that the New
-Ulm and Murphy Cases involved violations of a much smaller magnitude than those in Quast. In New Ulm and Mmurphy, the Board imposed cease and desist orders only.

In Quast, the Board found that the magnitude of the violations involved were over a great geographical area and constituted "serious and substantial violations of the regulatory scheme established by the Legislature". (Quast p. 30)- Violations of that magnitude have not been shown in this case.

Regarding the willfulness of the violations, the Board found in Quast that the violations involved were "intentional and manifest a flagrant disregard to rules and previous orders of the Board". (Quast, at p. 30). The Quast case involved a carrier whose behavior was obviously intentional and occurred after a cease and desist directive to discontinue unauthorized operations had been issued, No such willfulness is evidenced in this case.

The impact of violating rules against commingling regular route and irregular route freight, and against the interlining of freight by an irregular route common carrier with a regular route common carrier, and the impact of operating in a territory as a regular route common carrier without the authority to do so can be quite serious. The Board expressed its deep concerns over such activities at pages 31 and 32 of its recent Quast

decision. Its concerns include the fact that any irregular route carrier with

authority to transport general commodities statewide or through large areas of

the state could implement regular route operations in the manner that Quast did and attempt to avoid detection by not scheduling peddle runs and not Designating peddle routes. Such widespread violation could encourage other and further ViOldtions of the motor carrier law by irregular route carriers. The Board held that in order to maintain the separation of the motor carrier classes and to assure regular service to the rural, least populous areas of the state, irregular route carriers must not be allowed to siphon off customers of regular route carriers.

!he evidence in this case does not show that McDonough has siphoned a great deal of business from existing common carriers. Rather, it is building

new business or filling the "vacuums" of business created by the demise of carriers such as Murphy Motor Freight. The service it is providing is frequent enough to appear repetitive in character, but the Administrative law

Judge concludes that it is not following a predetermined plan.

In the Murphy v. Hyman cane, supra, and in issuing other Orders involving

distinguishing between regular and irregular route service, the Board and its

predecessors have been guided by criteria originally established by the interstate Commerce Commission in Brady Transfer and Storage Co,, 41 M.C.C. 23

(1947). In an effort to compare McDonough's operations against those criteria, the Administrative law Judge has found that the Applicant's operations reflect a mixture of characteristics, some of which tit traditional

regular route carriage and some of which resemble irregular service.

The carriage of aggregated lots of miscellaneous LTL shipments and service

to a great number of shippers is characteristic of regular route service,

the evidence fails to show that McDonough is acting in such a fashion for any

reason other than the fort that it simply has a sufficient number of orders for shipment to or pickup from the areas served. The Judge is unable to detect a ncheme on the part of the Applicant to convert its operations to regular route without authority. The evidence also fails to indicate that the

charges to shippers are under regular route common Carrier tariffs. There

no evidence of general solicitation of many shippers or the maintenance of terminals at any point except COMPdny headquarters in Varibault. It is also evident that McDonough varies its routes between Faribault and points such as

Mankato, Austin Albert Lea and Rochester according to where tie business is. Toe Administrative taw Judge concludes that its on-call, as demanded and where

demanded type service has simply gotten so large that the filling of the demand has taken on characteristics that make the provision of the service

look like the completion of regular routes. McDonough conducts its operations

in the territory nought for extended service between numerous points and uses

various routes except when economy of operation and expedition dictates the frequent use of particular routes. Such operations are characheristic of a carrier operating under irregular route authority.

it seems !ear that McDonough is willing to submit to the  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right)$  authority of the

Board. unlike the carrier's President in Quast, McDonough's resident, Richard McDonough, is a credible witness. His candid admissions on the record

regarding comingling of freight and impermissible interlining were impressive. The Administrative Law Judge had the opportunity lo observe Mr. McDonough throughout the proceeding, which included hours of intense cross-examination by skilled, experienced counsel knowledgeable in

transportation matters. The Judge concludes that Mr. McDonough is honest, forthright and sincerely committed to compliance with the governing laws and rules. On the numerous occasions when Mr. McDonough stated that he sought clarification of McDonough's practices in this proceeding, his testimony rang true. When McDonough said he will abide by the Board's ruling in this matter,

and by the governing principles of the trucking business as he understands them, this finder of fact believes him.

It is not normally a purpose, in determining fitness and ability, to punish the carrier for past unlawful operations.

In New Ulm Freight -Lines-,\_Ing,, IRCC 649/A 75-24, p. 30 (1979), the Public Utilities commission stated

. . . [T]he object of determining fitness is not to punish the carrier for past unlawful operation . . . It is to determine the applicant's willingness and ability to conduct the future operations in conformity with the statutes and applicable rules and regulations of the Commission . . .

For the reasons Stated above, it has been recommended to the Board that it limit its present sanctions against McDonough to Orders to Cease and Desist from further violations.

R . C . L .